

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/621,672	SAHLEM, DONALD PETER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowance

 (1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Donald Sahlem (Applicant).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 April 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Section 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*4,496,060 and 5,697,508*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed (a) amending the claims to more clearly define the invention and (b) canceling the newly added claims that are drawn to a non-elected species. Examiner to make changes via examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)